

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/330,544	06/11/1999	ROBERT F. BAUGH	17720-059	9553
7:	590 04/24/2002			
Hogan & Hartson, Esq.			EXAMINER	
1200 17th Street, Suite 1500 Denver, CO 80202			ALEXANDI	ER, LYLE
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1743	ID
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
						
09/330,544	BAUGH ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Lyle A Alexander	1743					
pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress				
VIS SET TO EXPIRE 31	MONTH(S) FROM					
	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel INTHS from the mailing date of this control ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
<u>-28</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
awn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
☑ Claim(s) <u>4-6,8,10-12,14,16,18-22,24,26-28</u> is/are rejected.						
Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
or election requirement.						
	the Everiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
an priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
, ,						
nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
ority documents have bee	n received in this National	Stage				
tic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional	l application).				
· <u>—</u>	• •					
	Examiner Lyle A Alexander Pears on the cover sheet was a section in the statutory minimum of the livill apply and will expire SIX (6) Min the statutory minimum of the livill apply and will expire SIX (6) Min the statutory minimum of the livill apply and will expire SIX (6) Min the statutory minimum of the livill apply and will expire SIX (6) Min the statutory minimum of the livillar application, even in the statutory minimum of the livillar application is non-final. Pears parte Quayle, 1935 Content of the drawler of the livillar application. Per rejected. Per rejected. Per rejected or by objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abection in the livillar approved by the drawler of the livillar approved by the livillar approved by the livillar application. Per priority under 35 U.S.Contents have been received in the livillar application has stic priority under 35 U.S.Contents application has application application application has application application application application applicati	Examiner Lyle A Alexander Pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence and LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and supply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any date of this communication. Parce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the first part of Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 28 is/are pending in the application. Parce rejected. Percentage of this communication. Parce rejected. Percentage of this communication are rejected. Percentage of this communication are rejected or by the Examiner. Parcentage of this communication are parcel or this communication. Parcentage of this communication are rejected. Parcentage of this communication are received. Parcentage of this communication and parcel or received. Parcentage of this communication are represented and parcel or received. Parcentage of this communication are represented as the parcel of this communication. Parcentage of this communication are represented as the t				

Application/Control Number: 09/330,544

Art Unit: 1743

D uble Pat nting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 4-6,8,10-12,14,16,18-22,24 and 26-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-40 of U.S. Patent No. 5,972,712. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach methods of evaluating the clotting characteristics of blood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 4-6,8,10-12,14,16,18-22,24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baugh (USP 5,314,826) in view of Hanahan et al.(USP 4,329,302).

Baugh teaches a methods for the evaluation of clotting characteristics of platelets. A sample is dispensed into a test cell. An activating agent such as kaolin is

Application/Control Number: 09/330,544

Art Unit: 1743

added. The method also includes reciprocating the plunger to determine the clotting properties of the blood.

Baugh is silent to the claimed "1-0-alkyl... phosphorylcholine" (e.g. AGEPC hereafter) reagenHanahan et al. teach AGEPC is a potent platelet activator. It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Baugh (USP 5,314,826). in view of Hanahan et al.(USP 4,329,302) and use AGEPC to gain the advantage of high platelet activation.

Baugh in view of Hanahan is silent to the claimed concentrations of AGEPC.

The court decided <u>In re Boesch</u> (205 USPQ 215) that optimization of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the skill of the art. Selection of the optimal concentration of a known reagent having well known properties, such as platelet activation, is a result effective variable.

It would have been within the skill of the art to further modify Baugh and use the AGEPC in the claimed concentrations of 0-2.76 micrograms and/or 0-150nm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

LYLE A. ALEXANDER PRIMARY EXAMINER